UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Judgment in a Criminal Case

V.

DANIEL ARMENTA-AYON

Case Number: 2:16CR04456-001JB

USM Number: **59844-051**

Defendant's Attorney: Alonzo J. Padilla, Appointed

THI	E DEFENDANT:							
	pleaded guilty to count(s) Information . pleaded nolo contendere to count(s) which was accepted by the court. was found guilty on count(s) after a plea of not guilty.							
The	defendant is adjudicated	guilty of these offenses:						
Title and Section Nature of O		Nature of Offense	of Offense		Count			
8 U. (b)	S.C. Sec. 1326(a) and	Reentry of a Removed Alien		08/17/2016				
	defendant is sentenced orm Act of 1984.	as provided in pages 2 through 4 o	f this judgment. The sent	ence is imposed pu	rsuant to the Sentencing			
		found not guilty on count(s). the motion of the United States.						
or m	nailing address until all f	ant must notify the United States atto ines, restitution, costs, and special a list notify the court and United States	ssessments imposed by th	is judgment are full	y paid. If ordered to pay			
		February 22, 2017						
			Date of Imposition of J	udgment				
			/s/ James O. Brown	ing				
		Honorable James O. Browning						
		United States District Judge						
			Name and Title of Judg	ge				
			March 8, 2017					
			Date					

AO 245B (Rev 11/16) Judgment in a Criminal Case Sheet 2 - Imprisonment Judgment - Page 2 of 4

DEFENDANT: **DANIEL ARMENTA-AYON** CASE NUMBER: **2:16CR04456-001JB**

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of: 7 months.

The Court recommends that Immigration and Customs Enforcement begin removal proceedings during service of sentence.

Pursuant to section 5D1.1(c), the Court will not impose a term of supervised release.

The Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines' sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines' punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 7 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes see forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.						
□ 1	The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:					
\boxtimes	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.					
	The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:					
	\square at on.					
	as notified by the United States Marshal.					
	he defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:					
	before 2 p.m. on .					
	☐ as notified by the United States Marshal.					
	☐ as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.					
	RETURN					
I ha	have executed this judgment as follows:					
Def	Defendant delivered on	to				
	at	with a certified copy of this judgment.				
		UNITED STATES MARSHAL				
		Ву				
		DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL				

AO 245B (Rev 11/16) Judgment in a Criminal Case Sheet 6 – Criminal Monetary Penalties

Judgment - Page 4 of 4

DEFENDANT: **DANIEL ARMENTA-AYON** CASE NUMBER: **2:16CR04456-001JB**

CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

	1 2	Č	monetary penalties under the solal Penalty Assessment; the fee is	1 2	ent is required
Totals	•	Assessment \$waived	JVTA Assessment* \$0.00	Fine \$0.00	Restitution \$0.00
* Justic	e for Victims of Trafficking	g Act of 2015, Pub. L. N	No. 114-22		
		SC	HEDULE OF PAYMENT	Γ S	
	e interest, (6) communi) assessment, (2) restitution prin VTA assessment, (8) penalties;		
	fendant will receive cred	it for all payments pr	nent of the total fine and other cri reviously made toward any crimi		
в 🗆	•		ial instructions regarding paymer	nt of criminal monetary	y penalties).
payab New 1	le by cashier's check, b	ank or postal mone therwise noted by	criminal monetary penalties: y order to the U.S. District Co the court. Payments must inc	urt Clerk, 333 Lomas	s Blvd. NW, Albuquerque,

Unless the court has expressly ordered otherwise in the special instruction above, if this judgment imposes imprisonment, payment of criminal monetary penalties is due during the period of imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalties, except those payments made through the United States Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, are made to the clerk of court.